

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE FOREIGN EXCHANGE BENCHMARK  
RATES ANTITRUST LITIGATION

No. 1:13-cv-07789-LGS

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
ISSUANCE OF A HAGUE CONVENTION REQUEST FOR INTERNATIONAL  
JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE TO TAKE TESTIMONY OVERSEAS**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 28(b)(2) and 28 U.S.C. §1781(b)(2), Plaintiffs respectfully move the Court to issue a Request for International Judicial Assistance Pursuant to the Hague Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters (“Letter of Request”) to take testimony overseas of Mr. John Erratt pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Mr. Erratt resides in the U.K. and is a former FX trader in London for Defendants Barclays<sup>1</sup> and Credit Suisse.<sup>2</sup>

During the class period, Mr. Erratt participated in numerous multibank chat rooms with FX traders from competitor banks. Mr. Erratt’s knowledge of information discussed in those chat rooms, how the information was then used by FX traders, and whether those discussions impacted FX prices are all highly relevant to Plaintiffs’ claims and his testimony is necessary for a fair determination of these proceedings. Because Mr. Erratt resides in the U.K., and is outside of the Court’s subpoena power, only by a Letter of Request will Plaintiffs be able to secure Mr. Erratt’s

---

<sup>1</sup> “Barclays” refers to Barclays Bank PLC and Barclays Capital Inc.

<sup>2</sup> “Credit Suisse” refers to Credit Suisse Group AG, Credit Suisse AG, and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC.

testimony for use at trial. For those reasons, as more fully explained below, the Court should issue the Letter of Request, which is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Christopher M. Burke in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Issuance of a Hague Convention Request for International Judicial Assistance to Take Testimony Overseas ("Burke Decl.").

## ARGUMENT

### **I. COURTS ROUTINELY ISSUE REQUESTS TO PERMIT PARTIES TO TAKE EVIDENCE IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES**

Rule 28(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and 28 U.S.C. §1781(b)(2) grant the Court the authority to issue a letter of request seeking the assistance of a foreign court in securing the testimony of a non-party witness located overseas. *See Elliot Assocs., L.P. v. Republic of Peru*, No. 96 Civ. 7917 (RWS), 1997 WL 436493, at \*2 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 1, 1997) ("Application for a letter of request to take testimony pursuant to the Hague Convention is an appropriate mechanism for obtaining discovery of a non-party witness in a foreign country."). "Courts routinely issue such letters where the movant makes a reasonable showing that the evidence sought may be material or may lead to the discovery of material evidence." *See Netherby Ltd. v. Jones Apparel Group, Inc.*, No. Civ. 04-7028 (GEL), 2005 WL 1214345, at \*1-\*2 (S.D.N.Y. May 18, 2005) (ordering issuance of letter rogatory to Canada to permit production of documents and the taking of depositions); *Elliot Assoc.*, 1997 WL 436493, at \*2 (granting plaintiff's request for letters rogatory to take testimony in the United Kingdom).

### **II. THE EVIDENCE SOUGHT BY PLAINTIFFS IS RELEVANT TO THEIR CLAIMS**

In Section 10 of the Letter of Request, Plaintiffs identify 10 core topics for Mr. Erratt's deposition. The testimony of Mr. Erratt concerning each of these topics is relevant to Plaintiffs' claims that Defendants conspired to fix FX prices.

Mr. Erratt is a former FX Spot Dealer in London for Defendants Barclays and Credit Suisse and was responsible for trading FX currencies during the Class Period. (Burke Decl., ¶25.) Mr. Erratt's background and employment at Barclays and Credit Suisse, as well as his knowledge of the FX market, are relevant to establishing the foundation for Mr. Erratt to testify about additional topics concerning the alleged conspiracy. (See Burke Decl., Ex. A, Sec. 10, Topics A-B.) Additionally, Mr. Erratt's testimony is relevant to Plaintiffs' allegations that FX traders had strong social and professional ties with traders at co-conspirator banks, which created incentives and opportunities for collusion. (See *id.*, Ex. A-1 (ECF No. 619), ¶118.) Therefore, Mr. Erratt's background and actions as an FX trader at Defendants Barclays and Credit Suisse are relevant to Plaintiffs' claims.

Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding his communications with FX traders from other Defendants from 2007 through 2013, including the use of multibank chat rooms and phone calls, is relevant to prove the alleged conspiracy to fix FX prices. (See Burke Decl., Ex. A, Sec. 10, Topics C-E.) Plaintiffs allege that Defendants communicated directly with each other through the daily use of multiple chat rooms to share market-sensitive information, including spreads, with competitors. The Court ruled that these chats are direct evidence akin to the "recorded phone call in which two competitors agreed to fix prices at a certain level." (ECF No. 242 at 12.) Incriminating chat room names support the inference they were used for anticompetitive purposes. (*Id.* at 13.)

Beginning as early as 2007, and continuing through at least 2013, Mr. Erratt participated in numerous multibank chat rooms with FX traders from other Defendants, including without limitation, [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]<sup>3</sup> In these chat rooms, Mr. Erratt shared confidential information, agreed on spreads to charge customers, discussed FX prices, disclosed positions of contingent orders, shared open positions, and discussed coordinating around fixes with FX traders from other Defendants. (Burke Decl., ¶26.)

Plaintiffs have attached representative chat transcripts in which Mr. Erratt participated as Exhibits to the Burke Declaration. (*See* Burke Decl., Exs. B-W.) These chats include, for example, chats where Mr. Erratt [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Burke Decl., ¶3, Ex. B.) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Burke Decl., ¶4, Ex. C.) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (Burke Decl., ¶5, Ex. D.)

Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding his chat room communications is relevant to demonstrate his knowledge of the conspiracy, including the meanings of his communications, his understanding of what FX traders communicated to each other, and how he used that information to trade FX on behalf of Barclays and Credit Suisse. Mr. Erratt's testimony also is necessary to explain at trial communications through other media for which written evidence may not exist, such as text messages and phone calls.

---

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiffs have deposed or will depose each of these FX traders.

Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding his knowledge of Barclays' and Credit Suisse's policies regarding electronic communications, sharing information, and antitrust compliance is relevant to Plaintiffs' claims. (*See* Burke Decl., Ex. A, Sec. 10, Topics F and G.) Plaintiffs intend to prove either that Defendants lacked compliance measures to prevent the conspiracy and/or knew of violations of compliance policies and failed to prevent them. Accordingly, Mr. Erratt's testimony concerning his knowledge of FX traders complying (or not complying) with Barclays', and Credit Suisse's internal policies is relevant to establishing the existence of a conspiracy.

Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding his own, Barclays' or Credit Suisse's profit and loss in foreign exchange is relevant to show a motive to conspire both at the corporate and the individual trader level. (*See* Burke Decl., Ex. A, Sec. 10, Topics H and I.) Testimony regarding Barclays' and/or Credit Suisse's profit and loss in FX also bears on injury to Plaintiffs and the Class. Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding his knowledge of Barclays' and Credit Suisse's algorithms is relevant to the interrelationship of FX prices between trading platforms, *e.g.*, voice and electronic.

Finally, Mr. Erratt's testimony regarding any investigation of his or other FX traders' conduct in the FX market from 2007 through 2013 is relevant to establish liability at trial. (*See* Burke Decl., Ex. A, Sec. 10, Topic J.)

As shown above, Mr. Erratt possesses information relevant to Plaintiffs' claims that Defendants conspired to fix FX prices. Moreover, some of this information, such as Mr. Erratt's testimony as to what he meant in chat rooms, how he interpreted the chats of his alleged fellow co-conspirators, information exchanged during phone calls, and what he did with that information while trading on behalf of Barclays or Credit Suisse, is solely within his possession. Mr. Erratt's testimony is thus necessary for the fair determination of this proceeding, and because he resides

outside of the subpoena power of the Court, the Letter of Request should issue to preserve his testimony for use at trial.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant this motion and issue the Letter of Request attached as Exhibit A to the Burke Declaration.

Dated: August 9, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

s/Christopher M. Burke

---

CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE (CB-3648)  
WALTER W. NOSS (WN-0529)  
KRISTEN M. ANDERSON (*pro hac vice*)  
STEPHANIE A. HACKETT (*pro hac vice*)  
600 West Broadway, Suite 3300  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Telephone: 619-233-4565  
Facsimile: 619-233-0508  
cburke@scott-scott.com  
wnoss@scott-scott.com  
kanderson@scott-scott.com  
shackett@scott-scott.com

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

DAVID R. SCOTT (DS-8053)  
JOSEPH P. GUGLIELMO (JG-2447)  
DONALD A. BROGGI (DB-9661)  
PETER A. BARILE III (PB-3354)  
SYLVIA M. SOKOL (SS-0317)  
THOMAS K. BOARDMAN (TB-0530)  
The Helmsley Building  
230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor  
New York, NY 10169  
Telephone: 212-223-6444  
Facsimile: 212-223-6334  
drscott@scott-scott.com  
jguglielmo@scott-scott.com  
dbroggi@scott-scott.com  
pbarile@scott-scott.com  
ssokol@scott-scott.com  
tboardman@scott-scott.com

HAUSFELD LLP  
MICHAEL D. HAUSFELD  
REENA ARMILLAY GAMBHIR  
TIMOTHY S. KEARNS  
NATHANIEL C. GIDDINGS  
1700 K Street, NW, Suite 650  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: 202-540-7143  
Facsimile: 202-5407201  
mhausfeld@hausfeld.com  
rgambhir@hausfeld.com  
tkearns@hausfeld.com  
ngiddings@hausfeld.com

HAUSFELD LLP  
MICHAEL P. LEHMANN  
CHRISTOPHER L. LEBSOCK  
BONNY E. SWEENEY  
600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415-633-1949  
Facsimile: 415-693-0770  
mlehmann@hausfeld.com  
clebsock@hausfeld.com  
bsweeney@hausfeld.com

*Interim Co-Lead Counsel*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 9, 2019, I caused the foregoing to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the email addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

Dated: August 9 2019

s/ Christopher M. Burke  
Christopher M. Burke